



Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce
23020 Valencia Blvd., Suite 100 • Santa Clarita, CA 91355-2175
661-259-1187 • FAX 661-259-8628

December 14, 2004

Whereas, the Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce has long supported the use of natural gas based on its clean burning and energy efficient characteristics, and

Whereas, many of the Chamber's 1700 members are significant users of natural gas, and

Whereas, natural gas, like electricity or other commodities can increase in price when supplies are unavailable, and California and the Santa Clarita Valley experienced the negative impacts that can occur when prices rise due to inadequate supply, and

Whereas, both the state and federal government have recently completed substantial study on the proposed Cabrillo Port LNG project, and this study has shown there to be no negative environmental impact to the Cabrillo LNG floating barge natural gas/pipeline project, and

Whereas, this project will deliver a long-term source of natural gas to the citizens and business community of Santa Clarita and California in a safe, efficient and environmentally responsible manner, and

Whereas, the Chamber of Commerce encourages Cabrillo officials to continue to monitor the impacts relating to the economic impact of the LNG pipeline project during the construction process, and

Now, therefore be it resolved that the Board of Directors supports the Cabrillo Port LNG Terminal and the associated pipeline addition through Santa Clarita as approved this day, December 14, 2004.

Jerry B. Parker
President / CEO
12-14-2004



Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/20/2004
First Name: Jeff
Last Name: Martin
Address: 8065 Elk Grove-Florin Rd.
City: Elk Grove
State: CA
Zip Code: 95828
Topic: Transportation
Comments: For the amount of revenues Cabrillo Port will bring to local government, there's quite the bang for the buck. It's great to see how relatively few impacts such a large project will have on local traffic. There will be disruptions along local streets as gas pipeline is laid, the proposed route goes along less populated and less-trafficked streets. Once construction is done any traffic problems will be gone, but the economy will continue to thrive from Cabrillo Port.

G281-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

308768

USCG - 2004 - 16877 - 691

Attention Interested Parties:

I support the LNG facility in Oxnard but was unable to speak out in support for it at your hearing on November 30th.

G413-1&2

Stephen Martin
Stephen Martin

2955W. Wooley - Apt. E
Oxnard, Calif.

Source:
USCG Docket

Date:

12/19/04

G413-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

G413-2

The notices for the public meetings and the information provided at the public meetings indicated that commenters would speak in the order that their requests were received, after elected officials and representatives of government agencies were heard. We regret that you were unable to stay at the meeting to provide oral testimony; however, your submitted written comment carries the same weight as any oral comments provided at public hearings.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
2004 DEC 20 P 12:33

DEC. 19. 2004 9:30 PM
308760

NO. 427 - 2. 1/1

USCG-2004-16877-688

I support the building of a safe LNG facility in Oxnard. The Cabrillo Deep Port project is many miles off shore and is proven to be safe.

They did not give me a chance to speak at the hearing in Oxnard.

G441-1

Source: USCG Docket

G441-2

Date: 12/19/04

Victor Martinez
Victor Martinez

1025 Saratoga Street
Oxnard CA 93035

2004 DEC 20 P 12:33

OFFICE OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. COAST GUARD

Docket No. USCG-2004-16877
State Clearinghouse No.
20044021107

G441-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

G441-2

The notices for the public meetings and the information provided at the public meetings indicated that commenters would speak in the order that their requests were received, after elected officials and representatives of government agencies were heard. We regret that you were unable to stay at the meeting to provide oral testimony; however, your submitted written comment carries the same weight as any oral comments provided at public hearings.

Source:
USCG Docket

Date:

12/17/04

2004/G412



CIPA

California Independent Petroleum Association

1112 'I' Street #350, Sacramento, CA 95814
Ph: (916) 447-1177 • Fax: (916) 447-1144
e-mail: cipa@cipa.org • <http://www.cipa.org>

December 17, 2005

Docket Management Facility
ATTN: Docket Number 16877
U.S. Department of Transportation
Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street SW
Washington, DC 20590-0001

RE: Docket Number 16877 – Cabrillo Port Project

Dear Sirs:

The California Independent Petroleum Association (CIPA) is a non-profit, non-partisan trade association representing the interests of over 400 oil and gas producers, service and supply companies, and royalty owners operating in California. CIPA is writing to express its general support for the siting of new Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminals in California.

CIPA is acutely aware that the California State Lands Commission and U.S. Department of Transportation are currently evaluating the merit of several proposed projects along the Coast of California. CIPA's members are both heavy consumers and producers of natural gas and are committed to working with the state and federal government towards developing a comprehensive energy supply strategy for California. While the association has not taken a support position on any of the specific proposals, CIPA believes strongly that each of these projects could provide a critical source of long-term energy supplies at a time California is in desperate need of new natural gas deliveries.

Natural gas is clean burning and has become the fuel of choice in California in order to help meet clean air standards. Natural gas consumption in California is steadily rising – primarily due to an increase in gas-fired electricity generation plants. By 2013, it is estimated that California will consume seven billion cubic feet (bcf) of natural gas a day, up from the six bcf/day the state currently consumes.

California's natural gas demands will grow even more rapidly than expected if Governor Schwarzenegger is successful in his goals of attracting and retaining industrial companies to provide much-needed jobs and economic growth. In order to meet this growing demand, CIPA believes California regulators must foster a multi-pronged approach focused around increasing in-state production, conservation, interstate pipeline supply, and developing new LNG import terminals.

According to the California Energy Commission's Natural Gas Market Outlook Report, "The State of California's long-term goal for natural gas is to ensure a reliable supply of natural gas, sufficient to meet California's demand, at reasonable and stable prices, and with acceptable environmental impacts and market risk." The report adds, "The natural gas market should also ensure that consumers can operate in a less uncertain environment when planning for energy needs and reasonably priced fuel supplies." In

G412-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

order to meet the goal of a “less uncertain environment,” CIPA applauds these comments and believes the state needs to diversify to the greatest extent possible its natural gas supply points.

Throughout the 1990s, California benefited from ample pipeline capacity that resulted in stable and affordable natural gas prices. Since that time, however, in-state production has declined, in-state producers are finding it more difficult to get their gas to market through the utilities’ pipeline systems, demand has grown, and interstate pipeline capacity has tightened. California today depends upon four interstate pipelines for 85% of its natural gas supplies. When the state experiences a pipeline interruption, skyrocketing prices can result, leaving California’s economy highly vulnerable to severe disruption.

In-state production accounts for 15% of the state’s overall supply of natural gas. According to the Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR), California continues to have more than four (4) trillion cubic feet (tcf) of additional proved onshore reserves. The federal Energy Information Agency estimates an additional 23 tcf of reserves is available along the Western Coast of the United States – most of which is located close to California.

Increasing in-state production’s share of the supply picture is hindered in large part by the difficulty producers have in attracting new capital investment to California. Shifting standards on the part of the state’s utilities relative to pipeline access terms have contributed to this problem significantly and created a great deal of uncertainty on the part of both potential producers and investors. Likewise, California continues in many ways to have an “anti-energy business” aura about it due to overly stringent environmental permitting standards that make it difficult to site and develop new projects. Resolving these issues is critical to ultimately bringing more native gas production on-line. While in-state production will never be enough to fully meet all of our state’s needs, tapping these reserves and increasing production can and should be used to help mitigate California’s overall supply problems.

CIPA also readily concurs that one way to ease the need for new supplies is to more efficiently use natural gas through conservation. Governor Schwarzenegger has embarked on an ambitious plan to double the state’s use of renewable sources of energy by 2017. In helping achieve this goal, one of the things we believe must be done is to treat “stranded gas” – gas that is unable to go to market for quality or locational reasons – as a renewable and encourage the utilization of these resources for on-site electricity generation. Existing state policy discourages the use of these resources for the purposes of generating electricity, placing further strain on California’s electricity grid and natural gas pipeline systems. While these types of steps are important, it is critical to note that renewable sources of energy will, even after doubling their use, continue to be a small portion of the state’s overall portfolio and therefore can only provide one piece of the puzzle. Conservation alone, while important, cannot close the projected natural gas shortfalls over the next two decades.

LNG is already playing a vital role in meeting demand in the eastern and southern portions of the United States. There are currently four LNG import terminals operating in the U.S. In 2003, all four terminals began operating simultaneously for the time since 1981, resulting in the highest LNG receipts ever, more than double the previous high in 1979. These terminals meet peak demand needs of local utilities and provide a way to store gas for use during high consumption periods such as the winter. Worldwide, there are over 40 receiving LNG terminals in operation.

LNG has a 35-year proven track record of safety. Since the construction of the first U.S. LNG marine terminal in 1969, there have been no instances of cargo spills due to ship collisions, groundings, fires,

DEC-20-2004 MON 11:54 AM VCCAR

FAX NO. 8059812107

P. 01/01

308813



Ventura County Coastal
Association of REALTORS®

Source:
USCG Docket

Date:

12/20/04

DEC 20 2004

December 20, 2004

USCG-2004-16877-708

Docket Management Facility
U.S. Department of Transportation
Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street SW
Washington, D.C 20590-001

SUBJECT: Comments—Federal Docket #USCG 2004-16877—CABRILLO PORT
LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS DEEPWATER PORT PROJECT DEIS/DEIR

The Ventura County Coastal Association of REALTORS® Board of Directors, after becoming aware of public comments made regarding "property values" during the recent public hearings on the proposed Cabrillo Port, agreed to submit the following statement:

"There is no factual evidence, positive or negative, indicating an impact on property values."

It appears the majority of new on-shore pipelines serving the Cabrillo Port facility will be in agricultural areas currently restricted from development, with the one potential area closest to housing still in the approval process. We understand that pipelines built or replaced will be built to current and far safer standards and represent the same or a lower risk of hazard than the current gas pipelines running throughout all of our communities.

I want to emphasize that our statement regarding "property values" is not to be construed as an endorsement—for or against—the Cabrillo Port facility.

Sincerely,

Randy McCaslin, Association Executive
Ventura County Coastal Association of Realtors®

G506-1

cc: California State Clearinghouse/Cy-Oggins, BHP Billiton LNG International Inc.

2350 Wankel Way • Oxnard, CA 93030 • 805-981-2100 • Fax 805-981-2107 • www.vchomefinders.com



G506-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/19/2004
First Name: Mary
Last Name: McClenning
Topic: Public Safety: Hazards and Risk Analysis
Comments: After hearing from concerned Oxnard citizens in March GHP Billiton recommended that The Southern California Gas Company modify their plans to run new natural gas pipelines parallel to existing pipelines in favor of a new route away from residential areas that uses existing public rights-of-way. They believe that is the best approach to ensure the least possible disruption to the citizens of Oxnard.

Liquefied natural gas is just natural gas in its liquid form. It is a non-toxic and non corrosive form of natural gas. and it is important for people to know the process of converting it from a liquid back to a gas, for use in our homes, has been used safely for more than 40 years. They will be using state-of-the-art facilities and proven technologies to deliver the natural gas that California need to meet its energy goal for now and for the future.

G179-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/01/2004
First Name: Thomas
Last Name: McCormick
Address: P.O. Box 1528
City: Ojai
State: CA
Zip Code: 93024
Phone No.: 805-640-1180
Email: t_mccormick@ojai.net

G009

Address:
Topic: Alternatives, Biological Resources - Marine

Comments: I note from the EIR that the four generators onboard the FSRU will use substantial quantities of seawater for cooling. Constant maintenance will be required to reduce biofouling, and there will be impiungement and entrainment of marine life(see EPA 316(b) ruling).

Have you considered running the heat exchanger loop from the four generators through the Submerged Combustion Chamber? The excess heat from the generators would help warm the LNG which, in turn, would cool the generators. Seawater cooling could be used as a backup should the supply of LNG to the Vaporiser be cut off.

G009-1

G009-1

Thank you for the information. The Project has been modified since issuance of the October 2004 Draft EIS/EIR. The suggested modification has been incorporated into the Project design. Section 2.2 contains revised text on this topic.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/20/2004
First Name: Kearse
Last Name: McGill
Address: 2315 Capitol Ave.
City: Sacramento
State: CA
Zip Code: 95816
Topic: Public Safety: Hazards and Risk Analysis
Comments: Terrorism and references to 9/11 continue to be brought-up in regards to this project. I can sort of understand the concern, but am having a really hard time wrapping my brain around the entire concept. It seems to me that we have much more to worry about in neighboring Los Angeles. What we need to be concerned about is the environmental effects of a project of this magnitude, and there are suprisingly very few. That's what's important to me. Yes, we need more resources to support our energy shortage. We have to get it from somewhere and this seems like the best project around.

G326-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/19/2004
First Name: Eric
Last Name: McGuire
Address: 16842 Bainbury st.
City: Santa Clarita
State: CA
Zip Code: 91387
Topic: Aesthetics
Comments: when I first heard about a company wanting to put up an offshore LNG port I was worried about what it might look like and whether or not it would be an eyesore from the shoreline. Upon doing research about the aesthetics of Cabrillo Port I was pleased to learn that BHP Billington has taken this issue into great consideration, in that they have chosen to locate this port 14 miles offshore and according to the visual simulation modeling done by the EIS project team this port will virtually be unseen from the shoreline. I realize this country is in need of more supply of natural gas and I support BHP Billington 100% on their efforts to bring natural gas to our country. Thank you for time.

G229-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
 Date: 12/20/2004
 First Name: Nora
 Last Name: McKinley-Traut

G344

Topic: Geologic Resources

Comments: My name is Nora McKinley-Traut and I am a property owner in Ventura County and I feel strongly that the Draft EIS/EIR for the Cabrillo LNG Deepwater Port inadequately addresses the hazards of natural gas associated with a pipeline rupture. The Draft EIS/EIR mentions the occurrence of recent geologic faulting on the Oxnard Plain but the document fails to define these faults in sufficient detail to determine their specific impact on the proposed Center Road Pipeline, and hence fails to address specific mitigation measures. The occurrence of these faults have been documented by researchers lead by Robert Yeates professor of geology at Oregon State University, who is considered to be an expert on the geology of the area. This documentation is readily available in the scientific literature. Ground movement on these faults can cause a pipeline to rupture, which would lead to the discharge of gas. In the case of the proposed Central Road Pipeline, such a discharge would most probably result in an explosion such as that which occurred in August 2000, when an El Paso Natural Gas Transmission line of comparable size to the proposed Centerline Road Pipeline exploded. This explosion, in a remote area of southeast New Mexico, resulted in the deaths of 12 people

G344-1**G344-1**

Section 4.11 discusses geologic resources and hazards. Section 4.11.1.2 discusses earthquake faults and seismicity. Figure 4.11-7 shows geological faults and earthquake epicenters for earthquakes with magnitudes 5 and greater that occurred in the Project area between 1800 and 1999. Table 4.11.1 lists active and potentially active faults associated with earthquakes greater than 4.5 magnitude within 25 miles of the Project site. Section 4.11.4 addresses geological impacts and mitigation. Section 4.2.8 discusses historical natural gas pipeline incidents, estimated safety risks, safety requirements. Section 4.2.8.2 discusses regulations regarding pipelines. Sections 4.5.4, 4.6.4, 4.7.4, and 4.19.4 discuss the potential impacts of a pipeline rupture.

The El Paso Natural Gas pipeline accident in 2000 near Carlsbad, New Mexico, was one of several that prompted the DOT PHMSA Office of Pipeline Safety to promulgate additional safety requirements for pipelines routed near more densely populated areas (see 49 CFR 192, Subpart O). These requirements are applicable to many locations along the proposed and alternative pipeline routes for the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
 Date: 12/20/2004
 First Name: Nora
 Last Name: McKinley-Traut
 Email: notraut@hotmail.com
 Address:
 Topic: Public Safety: Hazards and Risk Analysis, Socioeconomics

G402

Comments: My name is Nora McKinley-Traut and I am a property owner in Ventura County and I feel strongly that the Draft EIS/EIR for the Cabrillo LNG Deepwater Port inadequately addresses public safety as it pertains to a pipeline rupture along the proposed Center Road Pipeline. Section 4.19.1.1 of the Draft EIS/EIR clearly shows that the population living within the "High Consequence Area" which is a "corridor 818 feet wide on either side of the pipeline" is dominantly Hispanic, and one particular cluster at milepost 4.1 lives in vulnerable mobile homes. The Draft EIS/EIR proposes a mitigation measure of "supplying smoke-detectors" and an "outreach for notification and escape planning." The Hispanics that live along the corridor of the proposed Center Road Pipeline are valuable part of our community and local economy. No one that lives and works along the proposed Center Road Pipeline corridor should be exposed to such a public safety hazard. Certainly a smoke detector would not have helped the 12 members of an extended family that were burned alive in August of 2000 while camping in southeast New Mexico when a nearby El Paso Natural Gas high-pressure high-volume transmission line, comparable to the proposed Center Road Pipeline, exploded in a fireball that was visible for 30 miles.

G402-1

G402-2

G402-3

G402-1

High-pressure natural gas transmission and lower pressure distribution pipelines are currently routed through or near residential areas in many communities. Similar natural gas transmission pipelines currently exist in Oxnard and Ventura County, along with many other communities in Southern California. Table 4.2-19 identifies High Consequence Areas (HCAs) along Project pipeline routes.

Section 4.2.8 discusses public safety and natural gas pipelines. Section 4.2.8.2 and Appendix C3-3 identify Federal and State safety requirements for natural gas pipelines. Impact PS-4 in Section 4.2.8.4 addresses the more stringent guidelines for natural gas transmission lines located near sensitive sites (e.g., schools, nursing homes, hospitals).

G402-2

Sections 4.19.1 and 4.19.4 contain information on potential Project impacts on minority and low-income communities and mitigation measures to address such impacts.

G402-3

The El Paso Natural Gas pipeline accident in 2000 near Carlsbad, New Mexico, was one of several that prompted the DOT PHMSA Office of Pipeline Safety to promulgate additional safety requirements for pipelines routed near more densely populated areas (see 49 CFR 192, Subpart O). These requirements are applicable to many locations along the proposed and alternative pipeline routes for the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/03/2004
First Name: Jane
Last Name: McMahon
Title: Librarian
Address: 333 S. Hope St. Suite 2900
City: Los Angeles
State: CA
Zip Code: 90071
Phone No.: 213-576-5845
Email Address: jmcMahon@thelenreid.com
Topic: Energy and Minerals
Comments: EIR for BHP Billiton Cabrillo Port LNG Deepwater Port

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/17/2004
First Name: Chad
Last Name: McMills
Address: 1330 Francisco St.
City: San Francisco
State: CA
Zip Code: 94123
Topic: Other/General Comment

Comments: I work in the construction industry. I know how much the high energy costs we dealt with a few years back hurt my industry and our economy as a whole.
We need to take steps to prevent that from occurring again. The Cabrillo Port project will help provide low cost natural gas to Californians. Additionally, it will create good paying jobs that are much needed. Both of these things will help California's economy.

I am in favor of the Cabrillo Port facility and urge its construction.

G158-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/17/2004
First Name: Tim
Last Name: McMorrow
Address: 1335 Washington St.
City: San Francisco
State: CA
Zip Code: 94109
Topic: Aesthetics
Comments: LNG is a non-toxic, non-corrosive form of natural gas that is a clean and safe way to power Californians need for cheaper, cleaner energy. The Cabrillo Port facility would accomplish this goal by using state of the art technology to provide Californians with the energy they desperately need.

In addition, the projects location 14 miles off the coast will severely limit any environmental or visual impact the project would have on our beloved coastline. This will allow us to meet our energy needs without harming the coast so many of us love.

I think the Cabrillo project makes sense for all these reasons and that is why I am supporting it.

G121-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Name (Please Print): Pamela S. MEIDELL Source: Public Meeting - Oxnard PM
 Organization/Agency: _____ Date: 11/30/2004
 Street Address: 4400 GATESHEAD BAY
 City: OXNARD State: CA Zip Code: 93035
 Email address: pmeidell@igc.org

Please provide written comments in the space below and drop this form into the comment box.

You may also submit comments

- Electronically through the Project Web site at <http://www.cabrilloport.ene.com>
- Electronically through the Docket Management System Web site (docket number 16877) at <http://dms.dot.gov>
- Or by mail or email to following addresses:

Docket Management Facility
 Room PL-401
 400 Seventh Street SW
 Washington, DC 20590-0001

California State Lands Commission
 100 Howe Avenue, Suite 100-South
 Sacramento, CA 95825
 ogginsc@slc.ca.gov
 Attention: Cy Oggins

All comments must be received by 2 p.m. PST, December 20, 2004

Comments (Use other side or attach additional sheets if necessary): _____

Please extend The comment period for
60 days so that a public education effort
can be made to ensure that the most
heavily effected population - Latinos and
low income - will have the opportunity
to comment. Better a public education effort
now than one to prepare citizens for possible
accidents after the pipeline is constructed
(a scenario advocated by The EIS/EIR)

G395-1

G395-2

G395-3

No action will be taken until the environmental review process is completed.

G395-1

All deepwater port applications fall under the authority of the Deepwater Port Act, which requires that a decision on the application be made within 330 days of the publication of the Notice of Application in the Federal Register. The Notice of Application for the Cabrillo Port Project was published in the Federal Register on January 27, 2004. Although the comment period (53 days) could not be extended at that time, a March 2006 Revised Draft EIR was recirculated under the CEQA for an additional public review period of 60 days. Section 1.4.1 contains additional information on this topic.

Section 1.5 contains information on opportunities for public comment. After the MARAD final license hearing, the public will have 45 days to comment on the Final EIS/EIR and the license application. The Federal and State agencies will have an additional 45 days to provide comments to the MARAD Administrator. The Administrator must issue the Record of Decision within 90 days after the final license hearing. The CSLC will hold a hearing to certify the EIR and make the decision whether to grant a lease. The California Coastal Commission will also hold a hearing. Comments received will be evaluated before any final decision is made regarding the proposed Project.

G395-2

Sections 4.19.1 and 4.19.4 contain information on potential Project impacts on minority and low-income communities and mitigation measures to address such impacts.

G395-3

The Pipeline Integrity Management Program (MM PS-4b in Section 4.2.8.4) requires that the public education program be fully implemented before pipeline operations begin.

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

I completely echo the concerns expressed by my Congressional Rep. Lois Capps and by my neighbor Jean Rowatree of the Beacon Foundation (please see their testimonies on 11/30/04 in Oxnard)

I urge ^{hearing} The Committee to apply the criterion of The Precautionary Principle to all aspects G395-4 of and all alternative scenarios. First articulated and widely known during the UN Rio Conference ~~of~~ on the Environment, it requires the burden of proof demonstrating "no harm" be borne by the applicant BHP Billiton and not by the public (especially in this case the most vulnerable members of our community low wage working Latino families).

Our community doesn't have ^{the} \$125,000 to spend advancing our position like BHP Billiton does. (Their PR representative cited this figure to me during this morning's open house) To repeat, please extend the comment period.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/19/2004
First Name: Mary Jane
Last Name: Merawski
Address: 6913 Westchester Way
City: Citrus Heights
State: CA
Zip Code: 95621
Topic: Other/General Comment

Comments: We need solutions to our energy problems NOW. I can't imagine anyone would want to go through another process such as this to get where we are now. This is a good project. It is safe, creates jobs, boosts economies, and most of all it brings a reliable energy resource to the state. As well, BHP has gone the extra length to ensure the least amount of impact to the environment, from the depths of the sea to onshore air-quality. Located 14 miles off the shore, no one will even know it exists. Lastly, I am tired of seeing poor communities have to be the ones to suffer when it comes to producing our resources. Cabrillo Port is a good project in a good location with good benefits to all involved.

G242-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/20/2004
First Name: Ed
Last Name: Meyer
Address: P.O. Box 678
City: Biggs
State: CA
Topic: Public Safety: Hazards and Risk Analysis
Comments: I have heard about the "worst-case-scenario" stories with the Cabrillo Port. It sounds like BHP Billiton has thought of everything to minimize any potential disasters that could occur. I feel confident supporting a project that has so much thought put behind it. Any risks that exist are mineralized by its distance from our coast. I know I don't want to see blackouts in this state again. Please support Cabrillo Port.

G101-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/17/2004
First Name: Ed
Last Name: Meyer
Address: P.O. Box 678
City: Biggs
State: CA
Topic: Hazardous Materials

Comments: I have heard about the "worst-case-scenario" stories with the Cabrillo Port. It sounds like BHP Billiton has thought of everything to minimize any potential disasters that could occur. I feel confident supporting a project that has so much thought put behind it. Any risks that exist are mineralized by it distance from our coast. I know I don't want to see blackouts in this state again. Please support Cabrillo Port.

G372-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/17/2004
First Name: Jan
Last Name: Meyer
Address: P.O. Box 366
City: Biggs
State: CA
Zip Code: 95917
Topic: Other/General Comment
Comments: California needs to take action to avoid more rolling blackouts and high energy costs. A LNG facility will help provide more affordable ways to power our everyday lives.

The Cabrillo Port Project is the best proposal available to accomplish this. It will have minimal impact on the coastal ecosystem due to its offshore location. The fact that the company has taken so many steps to mitigate the visual effects the project may have on the coastline is also a positive thing.

All in all, the Cabrillo project is the right thing for California.

G149-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Comment Form—Cabrillo Port LNG Deepwater Port draft EIS/EIR

Name (Please Print): Jeremy Meyer Source: Public Meeting - Oxnard PM
 Organization/Agency: _____ Date: 11/30/2004
 Street Address: 3303 Monte Carlo Dr.
 City: Oxnard State: CA Zip Code: 93035
 Email address: lightbringer@adelphia.net

Please provide written comments in the space below and drop this form into the comment box.

You may also submit comments

- Electronically through the Project Web site at <http://www.cabrilloport.ene.com>
- Electronically through the Docket Management System Web site (docket number 16877) at <http://dms.dot.gov>.
- Or by mail or email to following addresses:

Docket Management Facility
 Room PL-401
 400 Seventh Street SW
 Washington, DC 20590-0001

California State Lands Commission
 100 Howe Avenue, Suite 100-South
 Sacramento, CA 95825
 ogginsc@slc.ca.gov
 Attention: Cy Oggins

All comments must be received by 2 p.m. PST, December 20, 2004

Comments (Use other side or attach additional sheets if necessary): Please dismiss
the proposal for the LNG Deepwater Port. It is not
proven safe, the need for ~~that~~ LNG here is
not proven adequately, the environmental impacts are
not adequately assessed, let's move our state
strongly in the direction of renewable energy
research and production, not backward to
fossil fuels. **G391-1**

No action will be taken until the environmental review process is completed.

G391-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/17/2004
First Name: Kurt
Last Name: Meyer
Address: 146 Bluebird Ln.
City: Gridley
State: CA
Topic: Socioeconomics
Comments: I support this project because it does not affect any particular community.
By putting it far out in the sea no neighborhoods have to be bothered.
However, the local economy gets millions of tax dollars from the project.
It's a win win situation. However, if the project is not build, it is not the
rich communities that are affected. It is the poor neighborhoods where
people struggle to pay high energy bills.

G144-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.